Appendix B: Planning Process

Planning Process Documentation included in appendices in PDF version of the plan in the following order:

Notice for Public Comment Period

Affidavits of Publication for Public Comment Period

Invitees List

Meeting notices press release

Meeting letters and emails

Meeting Agendas

Internet Postings of Meeting Dates and Notices

Meeting sign-in sheets

STAPLEE Worksheets

2017 Stone County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Notice of Public Comment

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments (SMCOG) has contracted with the State Emergency Management Agency (SEMA) and the Stone County Commission to prepare a five-year update to the Stone County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

The preliminary draft is available for review and comment online at www.smcog.org until Sunday, January 14, 2018. The public is strongly encouraged to comment on the plan and ensure that the needs and values of residents are being addressed by Stone County in mitigating the potential damages to people and property in the event of natural hazards.

If you would like to view a printed copy or have comments on the plan, please contact Megan Clark, Planner, Southwest Missouri Council of Governments at 417-836-6901 or meganclark@missouristate.edu.

Affidavit of Publication

2017 Stone County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Notice of Public Comment

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments (SMCOG) has contracted with the State Emergency Management Agency (SEMA) and the Stone County Commission to prepare a five-year update to the Stone County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

The preliminary draft is available for review and comment online at www.smcog.org until Sunday, January 14, 2018. The public is strongly encouraged to comment on the plan and ensure that the needs and values of residents are being addressed by Stone County in mitigating the potential damages to people and property in the event of natural hazards.

If you would like to view a printed copy or have comments on the plan, please contact Megan Clark, Planner, Southwest Missouri Council of Governments at 417-836-6901 or meganclark@missouristate.edu.

33-1tc/LO1500

STATE OF MISSOURI,}
COUNTY OF STONE, } ss.

I, Isaac Jones, being duly sworn according to law, state that I am the editor of The Crane Chronicle/Stone County Republican, a weekly newspaper of general circulation in the County of Stone, which has been admitted to the Post Office as Periodical matter in the City of Crane, the city of publication; which newspaper has been published regularly and consecutively for a period of over three years and, in fact over one hundred twenty years, and has a list of bona fide subscribers voluntarily engaged as such who have paid or agreed to pay a stated price of a subscription for a definite period of time, and that such newspaper has complied with the provisions of Section 13.775, Laws of Missouri, 1937, Pages 431 and 432, enacted by the Fifty-Ninth General Assembly of the State of Missouri, the affixed notices appeared in said newspaper on the following consecutive weeks (issues).

From 12/21/2017 to 12/21/2018 , both inclusive.

First Insertion 12/21/2017

Second Insertion

Third Insertion

Fourth Insertion

Fifth Insertion

SIGNED _____
ISAAC JONES, EDITOR
THE CRANE CHRONICLE/STONE COUNTY REPUBLICAN

_____
NOTARY PUBLIC

Subscribed and sworn to before me this date: 01/05/2018

Printer's Fee: $22.50

SHANA MICHELLE HARTER
Notary Public, Notary Seal
State of Missouri
Stone County
Commission # 17782949
My Commission Expires 01-09-2021

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

STATE OF MISSOURI)

ss

COUNTY OF TANEY)

I, Keith Hansen, being duly sworn according to law, state that I am the Publisher of the Branson Tri-Lakes News, a newspaper published twice a week of general circulation in the Counties of Taney and Stone, State of Missouri, the city of publication; which newspaper has been published regularly and consecutively for a period of three years and has a list of bona fide subscribers, voluntarily engaged as such who have paid or agreed to pay a stated price for a subscription for a definite period of time, and that such newspaper has complied with the provisions of Section 493.050, Revised Statutes of Missouri 2000, and Section 59.310 Revised Statutes of Missouri 2000. The affixed notice appeared in said newspaper in the following consecutive times:

1st Insertion	Vol. 106	No. 101,	20th day of	December, 2017
2nd Insertion	Vol. 106	No. ,	day of	
3rd Insertion	Vol. 106	No. ,	day of	
4th Insertion	Vol. 106	No. ,	day of	

Keith Hansen

Subscribed and sworn to before me on the 20th day of December, 2017

Notary Public

Publisher's Fee	32.00
Affidavit	2.00
TOTAL	34.00

CHRISTINE K. MICHAEL
Notary Public – Notary Seal
STATE OF MISSOURI
Taney County
Commission Number 13439372
My commission expires December 28, 2017

2017 Stone County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Notice of Public Comment

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments (SMCOG) has contracted with the State Emergency Management Association (SEMA) and the Stone County Commission to prepare a five-year update to the Stone County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

The preliminary draft is available for review and comment online at www.smcog.org until Sunday, January 14, 2018. The public is strongly encouraged to comment on the plan and ensure that the needs and values of residents are being addressed by Stone County in mitigating the potential damages to people and property in the event of natural hazards.

If you would like to view a printed copy or have comments on the plan, please contact Megan Clark, Planner, Southwest Missouri Council of Governments at 417-836-6901 or meganclark@missouristate.edu.

B101/1t

PAID

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

STATE OF MISSOURI)

ss

COUNTY OF TANEY)

I, Keith Hansen, being duly sworn according to law, state that I am the Publisher of the Branson Tri-Lakes News, a newspaper published twice a week of general circulation in the Counties of Taney and Stone, State of Missouri, the city of publication; which newspaper has been published regularly and consecutively for a period of three years and has a list of bona fide subscribers, voluntarily engaged as such who have paid or agreed to pay a stated price for a subscription for a definite period of time, and that such newspaper has complied with the provisions of Section 493.050, Revised Statutes of Missouri 2000, and Section 59.310 Revised Statutes of Missouri 2000. The affixed notice appeared in said newspaper in the following consecutive times:

1st Insertion	Vol. 106	No. 101,	20th day of	December, 2017
2nd Insertion	Vol. 106	No. ,	day of	
3rd Insertion	Vol. 106	No. ,	day of	
4th Insertion	Vol. 106	No. ,	day of	

Keith Hansen

Subscribed and sworn to before me on the 20th day of December, 2017

Notary Public

Publisher's Fee	32.00
Affidavit	2.00
TOTAL	34.00

CHRISTINE K. MICHAEL
Notary Public – Notary Seal
STATE OF MISSOURI
Taney County
Commission Number 13439372
My commission expires December 28, 2017

2017 Stone County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Notice of Public Comment

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments (SMCOG) has contracted with the State Emergency Management Association (SEMA) and the Stone County Commission to prepare a five-year update to the Stone County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

The preliminary draft is available for review and comment online at www.smcog.org until Sunday, January 14, 2018. The public is strongly encouraged to comment on the plan and ensure that the needs and values of residents are being addressed by Stone County in mitigating the potential damages to people and property in the event of natural hazards.

If you would like to view a printed copy or have comments on the plan, please contact Megan Clark, Planner, Southwest Missouri Council of Governments at 417-836-6901 or meganclark@missouristate.edu.

B101/1t

PAID

Jurisdiction	Title	First Name	Last Name	Address	City	State	Zip	Phone
Stone County	Presiding Commissioner	Dennis	Wood	P.O. Box 19	Galena	MO	65656	417-357-8141
Stone County	Northern Commissioner	Mark	Maples	P.O. Box 19	Galena	MO	65656	417-357-8141
Stone County	Southern Commissioner	Hank	Smythe	P.O. Box 19	Galena	MO	65656	417-357-8141
Stone County	Administrative Assistant	Denise	Dickens	P.O. Box 19	Galena	MO	65656	417-357-8141
Stone County	Sheriff	Doug	Rader	P.O. Box 245	Galena	MO	65656	417-357-6116
Stone County	EMD	Tom	Martin	P.O.Box 161	Kimberling City	MO	65686	417-739-2181
Stone County	Flood Plain Manager	Lynn	Hilburn	P.O. 301	Galena	MO	65656	817-307-2279
Stone County E-911	Executive Director	Scott	Williams	105 Stonebridge Pkwy	Branson West	MO	65737	417-339-3377
Stone County Public Health Department	Administrator	Pamela	Burnett	109 E 4th St	Galena	MO	65656	417-357-6134
Stone County MU Extension Center	Program Director	Tim	Schnakenber	P.O. Box 345	Galena	MO	65656	417-357-6812
Stone County Planning & Zoning	Planning Director	Joy	Wilson	P.O. Box 301	Galena	MO	65656	417-357-8402
Blue Eye Village	Chairman	Jerry	Kerns	P.O. Box 112	Blue Eye	MO	65611	417-779-4636
Branson West	Mayor	Donna	Hardesty	P.O. Box 2229	Branson West	MO	65737	417-272-3313

Branson West	City Administrator	Ken	Smith	P.O. Box 2229	Branson West	MO	65737	417-272-3313
Branson West	City Clerk	Stephanie	Giese	P.O. Box 2229	Branson West	MO	65737	417-272-3313
Branson West	Police Chief	Steve	Dalton	P.O. Box 2229	Branson West	MO	65737	417-272-3400
Coney Island Village	Chairman	Don	Schwenn	356 Linden Dr.	Reeds Spring	MO	65737	
Coney Island Village	EMD	Irene	Mosher	356 Linden Dr.	Reeds Spring	MO	65737	417-338-2682
Crane	Mayor	Collin	Brannan	P.O. Box 17	Crane	MO	65633	417-723-8305
Crane	City Clerk	Beth	Murphy	P.O. Box 17	Crane	MO	65633	417-723-8305
Crane	Police Chief	John	Elmore	P.O.Box 17	Crane	MO	65633	417-723-5500
Crane	EMD	Bill	Basnett	P.O. Box 17	Crane	MO	65633	417-723-5990
Galena	Mayor	James	Coones	P.O.Box 234	Galena	MO	65656	417-357-6226
Galena	City Clerk	Lisa	Chambers	P.O.Box 234	Galena	MO	65656	417-357-6226
Galena	Police Chief	Lynn	Rhodes	P.O.Box 234	Galena	MO	65656	417-357-6226
Hurley	Mayor	Bert	Bond	P.O.Box 224	Hurley	MO	65675	417-369-3420
Hurley	City Clerk	Jean Anne	Baker	P.O.Box 224	Hurley	MO	65675	417-369-3420
Indian Point Village	Chairman	Diane	Cary	957 Indian Point Rd	Indian Point	MO	65616	417-338-5599
Indian Point Village	Village Clerk	Louise	Pucel	957 Indian Point Rd	Indian Point	MO	65616	417-386-4203

Kimberling City	Mayor	Bob	Fritz	P.O.Box 370	Kimberling City	MO	65686	417-739-4903
Kimberling City	City Administrator	Jerry	Harman	P.O.Box 370	Kimberling City	MO	65686	417-739-4903
Kimberling City	Police Chief	Craig	Alexander	P.O.Box 370	Kimberling City	MO	65686	417-739-2131
Kimberling City	Public Works Director	John	Dowdy	P.O.Box 370	Kimberling City	MO	65686	417-739-4903
Kimberling City	Administrative Clerk	Laura	Cather	P.O.Box 370	Kimberling City	MO	65686	417-739-4903
Kimberling City	EMD	Dean	Bickford	41 Trail Ridge Dr	Kimberling City	MO	65686	417-739-2131
McCord Bend Village	Chairman	J. Curtis	Brown	1724 McCord Bend Rd	Galena	MO	65656	417-357-8500
Reeds Spring	Mayor	Paul	Lear	P.O.Box 171	Reeds Spring	MO	65737	417-272-3309
Reeds Spring	City Clerk	Cynthia	Kirkpatrick	P.O.Box 172	Reeds Spring	MO	65738	417-272-3309
Reeds Spring	EMD	Kevin	Hayward	P.O. Box 171	Reeds Spring	MO	65738	417-272-3309
Blue Eye R-V	Superintendent	Doug	Arnold	P.O. Box 105	Blue Eye	MO	65611	417-779-5332
Crane R-III	Superintendent	Chris	Johnson	P.O. Box 405	Crane	MO	65633	417-723-5300
Galena R-II	Superintendent	Daniel	Humble	P.O. Box 286	Galena	MO	65656	417-357-6027
Hurley R-I	Superintendent	Allison	Murphy-Pope	P.O. Box 248	Hurley	MO	65675	417-369-3271
Reeds Spring R-IV	Superintendent	Michael	Mason	20281 State Hwy 413	Reeds Spring	MO	65737	417-272-8173
Reeds Spring R-IV	Director of Operations	Steve	Verheyen	20281 State Hwy 413	Reeds Spring	MO	65737	

Southern Stone County Fire Protection District	Fire Chief	Kieth	Wolven	10965 E State Hwy 76	Branson West	MO	65737	417-272-1510
Barry Fire Protection District	Fire Chief	John	Arrington	P.O.Box 7	Crane	MO	65633	417-699-1864
Hurley Fire Protection District	Fire Chief	Mark	Kisner	P.O.Box 281	Hurley	MO	65675	417-369-0110
Highlandville Rural Fire Protection District	Fire Chief	Kevin	Stephens	P.O.Box 26	Highlandville	MO	65669	417-443-2112
Kimberling City Amateur Radio Club	President	Lloyd	Carr	P.O.Box 2296	Branson West	MO	65737	
Kimberling City Amateur Radio Club	Vice-President	Dean	Bickford	41 Trail Ridge	Kimberling City	MO	65686	417-739-5234
White River Valley Electric Cooperative	CEO	Chris	Hamon	P.O.Box 969	Branson	MO	65616	1-800-879-4056
White River Valley Electric Cooperative	Director of Economic Development	Cy	Murray	PO Box 969	Branson	MO	65616	417-335-9335
USACE Table Rock Lake Project Office	Lakes Manager	James	Sandberg	4600 State Highway 165	Branson	MO	65616	501-340-1950
American Red Cross Greater Ozarks Chapter	Regional CEO			1545 N. West Bypass	Springfield	MO	65803	832-9500 ext. 101
Stone County OACAC Neighborhood Center	Supervisor	Misti	Clark	15060 Bus. 13 Suite 11	Branson West	MO	65737	417-739-1130
Table Rock Lake Chamber of Commerce	President/CEO	Sheila	Thomas	14226 State Hwy 13	Kimberling City	MO	65686	800-595-0393

Southwest Missouri
Council of Governments

Southwest Missouri
Council of Governments

NEWS

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
July 17, 2017

Megan Clark, Community Planner
417-836-6901

Stone County Communities Update Hazard Mitigation Plan

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments (SMCOG) has contracted with SEMA and the Stone County Commission to prepare a five-year update to the Stone County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. A plan update kick-off meeting will be held on July 25th, 2017 at 1:00 p.m. in the Stone County Annex Building, 106 5th St, Galena, Missouri.

Effective November 1, 2003, any county in Missouri that is declared a federal disaster area must have an approved Hazard Mitigation Plan in place to be eligible for HMGP funding. Hazard mitigation, as defined by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), is *any action taken to eliminate or reduce the loss of life or property as the result of a disaster event.* HMGP funds may be used to fund projects that will reduce or eliminate the losses from future disasters as well as provide a long-term solution to a problem. Many types of projects can be funded through the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program including improving bridges at low water crossings, floodplain buyouts, and constructing community saferooms.

As required by 44 CFR §201.6(d)(3), a local jurisdiction must review and revise its plan to reflect changes in development, progress in local mitigation efforts and changes in priorities and resubmit it for approval every five (5) years in order to continue to be eligible for mitigation project grant funding. The Stone County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan is a revision of the previous five-year update completed in 2013.

The public is invited to learn how local governments are planning to mitigate the impact of natural hazard events. All interested persons are encouraged to provide input on the plan which must be approved by the State Emergency Management Agency and FEMA. The County Commissioners, the governing body of each participating City and School District must pass a resolution adopting the Plan once it is approved by FEMA.

All comments and questions should be directed Megan Clark at (417) 836-6901 or by email meganclark@missouristate.edu.

###

Southwest Missouri
Council of Governments

Southwest Missouri
Council of Governments

NEWS

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
August 8, 2017

Megan Clark, Community Planner
417-836-6901

Stone County Communities Update Hazard Mitigation Plan – 2nd Meeting

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments (SMCOG) has contracted with SEMA and the Stone County Commission to prepare a five-year update to the Stone County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. The second plan update meeting will be held on August 15th, 2017 at 1:00 p.m. in the Stone County Annex Building, 106 5th St, Galena, Missouri.

Effective November 1, 2003, any county in Missouri that is declared a federal disaster area must have an approved Hazard Mitigation Plan in place to be eligible for HMGP funding. Hazard mitigation, as defined by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), is *any action taken to eliminate or reduce the loss of life or property as the result of a disaster event.* HMGP funds may be used to fund projects that will reduce or eliminate the losses from future disasters as well as provide a long-term solution to a problem. Many types of projects can be funded through the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program including improving bridges at low water crossings, floodplain buyouts, and constructing community saferooms.

As required by 44 CFR §201.6(d)(3), a local jurisdiction must review and revise its plan to reflect changes in development, progress in local mitigation efforts and changes in priorities and resubmit it for approval every five (5) years in order to continue to be eligible for mitigation project grant funding. The Stone County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan is a revision of the previous five-year update completed in 2013.

The public is invited to learn how local governments are planning to mitigate the impact of natural hazard events. All interested persons are encouraged to provide input on the plan which must be approved by the State Emergency Management Agency and FEMA. The County Commissioners, the governing body of each participating City and School District must pass a resolution adopting the Plan once it is approved by FEMA.

All comments and questions should be directed Megan Clark at (417) 836-6901 or by email meganclark@missouristate.edu.

###

Southwest Missouri
Council of Governments

Southwest Missouri
Council of Governments

NEWS

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
September 7, 2017

Megan Clark, Community Planner
417-836-6901

Stone County Communities Update Hazard Mitigation Plan – 3rd Meeting

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments (SMCOG) has contracted with SEMA and the Stone County Commission to prepare a five-year update to the Stone County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. The third plan update meeting will be held on September 26, 2017 at 1:00 p.m. in the Stone County Annex Building, 106 5th St, Galena, Missouri.

Effective November 1, 2003, any county in Missouri that is declared a federal disaster area must have an approved Hazard Mitigation Plan in place to be eligible for HMGP funding. Hazard mitigation, as defined by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), is *any action taken to eliminate or reduce the loss of life or property as the result of a disaster event.* HMGP funds may be used to fund projects that will reduce or eliminate the losses from future disasters as well as provide a long-term solution to a problem. Many types of projects can be funded through the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program including improving bridges at low water crossings, floodplain buyouts, and constructing community saferooms.

As required by 44 CFR §201.6(d)(3), a local jurisdiction must review and revise its plan to reflect changes in development, progress in local mitigation efforts and changes in priorities and resubmit it for approval every five (5) years in order to continue to be eligible for mitigation project grant funding. The Stone County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan is a revision of the previous five-year update completed in 2013.

The public is invited to learn how local governments are planning to mitigate the impact of natural hazard events. All interested persons are encouraged to provide input on the plan which must be approved by the State Emergency Management Agency and FEMA. The County Commissioners, the governing body of each participating City and School District must pass a resolution adopting the Plan once it is approved by FEMA.

All comments and questions should be directed Megan Clark at (417) 836-6901 or by email meganclark@missouristate.edu.

###

Southwest Missouri
Council of Governments

Southwest Missouri
Council of Governments

NEWS

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
October 11, 2017

Megan Clark, Community Planner
417-836-6901

Stone County Communities Update Hazard Mitigation Plan – 4th Meeting

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments (SMCOG) has contracted with SEMA and the Stone County Commission to prepare a five-year update to the Stone County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. The fourth plan update meeting will be held on October 24, 2017 at 1:00 p.m. in the Stone County Annex Building, 106 5th St, Galena, Missouri.

Effective November 1, 2003, any county in Missouri that is declared a federal disaster area must have an approved Hazard Mitigation Plan in place to be eligible for HMGP funding. Hazard mitigation, as defined by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), is *any action taken to eliminate or reduce the loss of life or property as the result of a disaster event.* HMGP funds may be used to fund projects that will reduce or eliminate the losses from future disasters as well as provide a long-term solution to a problem. Many types of projects can be funded through the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program including improving bridges at low water crossings, floodplain buyouts, and constructing community saferooms.

As required by 44 CFR §201.6(d)(3), a local jurisdiction must review and revise its plan to reflect changes in development, progress in local mitigation efforts and changes in priorities and resubmit it for approval every five (5) years in order to continue to be eligible for mitigation project grant funding. The Stone County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan is a revision of the previous five-year update completed in 2013.

The public is invited to learn how local governments are planning to mitigate the impact of natural hazard events. All interested persons are encouraged to provide input on the plan which must be approved by the State Emergency Management Agency and FEMA. The County Commissioners, the governing body of each participating City and School District must pass a resolution adopting the Plan once it is approved by FEMA.

All comments and questions should be directed Megan Clark at (417) 836-6901 or by email meganclark@missouristate.edu.

###

Southwest Missouri
Council of Governments

Southwest Missouri
Council of Governments

NEWS

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
October 31, 2017

Megan Clark, Community Planner
417-836-6901

Stone County Communities Update Hazard Mitigation Plan – 5th Meeting

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments (SMCOG) has contracted with SEMA and the Stone County Commission to prepare a five-year update to the Stone County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. The fifth and final plan update meeting will be held on November 14, 2017 at 1:00 p.m. in the Stone County Annex Building, 106 5th St, Galena, Missouri.

Effective November 1, 2003, any county in Missouri that is declared a federal disaster area must have an approved Hazard Mitigation Plan in place to be eligible for HMGP funding. Hazard mitigation, as defined by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), is *any action taken to eliminate or reduce the loss of life or property as the result of a disaster event.* HMGP funds may be used to fund projects that will reduce or eliminate the losses from future disasters as well as provide a long-term solution to a problem. Many types of projects can be funded through the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program including improving bridges at low water crossings, floodplain buyouts, and constructing community saferooms.

As required by 44 CFR §201.6(d)(3), a local jurisdiction must review and revise its plan to reflect changes in development, progress in local mitigation efforts and changes in priorities and resubmit it for approval every five (5) years in order to continue to be eligible for mitigation project grant funding. The Stone County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan is a revision of the previous five-year update completed in 2013.

The public is invited to learn how local governments are planning to mitigate the impact of natural hazard events. All interested persons are encouraged to provide input on the plan which must be approved by the State Emergency Management Agency and FEMA. The County Commissioners, the governing body of each participating City and School District must pass a resolution adopting the Plan once it is approved by FEMA.

All comments and questions should be directed Megan Clark at (417) 836-6901 or by email meganclark@missouristate.edu.

###

Southwest Missouri
Council of Governments

July 10, 2017

RE: Stone County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Dear Stakeholder,

The State Emergency Management Agency (SEMA) has entered into an agreement with the Southwest Missouri Council of Governments (SMCOG) to assist with the required update to the Stone County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. Federal law requires communities and other local public jurisdictions to have an approved, adopted hazard mitigation plan on file with the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) to be eligible to apply for and receive Hazard Mitigation Grant Program and other Federal mitigation program assistance.

The hazard mitigation plan must be updated every five years to maintain eligibility for funding. The updated plan developed for Stone County and its jurisdictions will meet the minimum planning requirements for all FEMA mitigation programs.

Community involvement in the development of the updated hazard mitigation plan for Stone County is critical to this effort and is a required element of the planning process. Any and all jurisdictions that may consider hazard mitigation projects in the next five years **must** participate in the plan update process to be eligible to apply for FEMA hazard mitigation project assistance grants.

The intent of the mitigation plan is to identify and evaluate the participating jurisdictions' vulnerability to natural disasters and to develop strategies and actions that can eliminate the negative effects of future disasters. Some examples of mitigation actions include the construction of safe rooms, improvements to low water crossings, and flood hazard area buyouts.

A representative from your jurisdiction or organization is invited to attend the kickoff information meeting on the update of the Stone County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan on Tuesday, July 25 at 1:00 p.m.

Please see the back of this letter for additional information.

901 S. National, Springfield Missouri 65897 (417) 836-6900, Fax: (417) 836-4146
An Equal Opportunity/Affirmative Action Institution

Southwest Missouri
Council of Governments

August 4, 2017

RE: Stone County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments has entered into an agreement with the State Emergency Management Agency and the Stone County Commission to assist with the required five-year update of the Stone County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan approved in May 2013. A FEMA approved local hazard mitigation plan must be in place in order for local units of government to remain eligible for hazard mitigation grant programs.

Community and stakeholder involvement in the development of the updated hazard mitigation plan is critical to the planning process and necessary to ensure that it meets the needs of all communities and districts included in the plan.

You and/or a representative from your jurisdiction or organization are invited to attend the 2nd meeting for the update of the Stone County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan:

2nd Meeting:
Stone County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting
Stone County Annex Building
106 E 5th Street
Galena, MO 65656
Tuesday, August 15, 2017
1:00 p.m.

Topics to be discussed at this meeting include a risk assessment of hazards throughout the county, as well as a recap of the planning process.

Additional plan update meetings will be held September 26, October 24, and November 14.

We look forward to the participation of your jurisdiction in the plan update process and encourage your questions, comments, and input at all stages of this process. If you have any questions about the meetings or the plan update process, or are unable to attend the kickoff meeting, please contact me by phone at 417-836-6901 or at meganclark@missouristate.edu.

Sincerely,



Megan Clark
Planner
The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments

Southwest Missouri
Council of Governments

October 6, 2017

RE: Stone County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments has entered into an agreement with the State Emergency Management Agency and the Stone County Commission to assist with the required five-year update of the Stone County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan approved in May 2013. A FEMA approved local hazard mitigation plan must be in place in order for local units of government to remain eligible for hazard mitigation grant programs.

Community and stakeholder involvement in the development of the updated hazard mitigation plan is critical to the planning process and necessary to ensure that it meets the needs of all communities and districts included in the plan.

You and/or a representative from your jurisdiction or organization are invited to attend the 4th meeting for the update of the Stone County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan:

4th Meeting:
Stone County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting
Stone County Annex Building
106 E 5th Street
Galena, MO 65656
Tuesday, October 24, 2017
1:00 p.m.

Items to be discussed:

- Participation Recap
- Planning Process Overview
- Mitigation Strategies Prioritization
- Future Meeting Date

The final plan update meeting will be held November 14.

We look forward to the participation of your jurisdiction in the plan update process and encourage your questions, comments, and input at all stages of this process. If you have any questions about the meetings or the plan update process, or were unable to attend any previous meetings, please contact me by phone at 417-836-6901 or at meganclark@missouristate.edu.

Sincerely,

Megan Clark
Planner
The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments

Southwest Missouri
Council of Governments

October 27, 2017

RE: Stone County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments has entered into an agreement with the State Emergency Management Agency and the Stone County Commission to assist with the required five-year update of the Stone County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan approved in May 2013. A FEMA approved local hazard mitigation plan must be in place in order for local units of government to remain eligible for hazard mitigation grant programs.

Community and stakeholder involvement in the development of the updated hazard mitigation plan is critical to the planning process and necessary to ensure that it meets the needs of all communities and districts included in the plan.

You and/or a representative from your jurisdiction or organization are invited to attend the 5th and FINAL meeting for the update of the Stone County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan:

Stone County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting
Stone County Annex Building
106 E 5th Street
Galena, MO 65656
Tuesday, November 14, 2017
1:00 p.m.

Items to be discussed:

- Participation Recap
- Planning Process Overview
- Action Tracker Website
- Funding and Implementation Mechanisms
- Plan Adoption & Maintenance

We look forward to the participation of your jurisdiction in the plan update process and encourage your questions, comments, and input at all stages of this process. If you have any questions about the meetings or the plan update process, or were unable to attend previous meetings, please contact me by phone at 417-836-6901 or at meganclark@missouristate.edu.

Sincerely,

Megan Clark
Planner
The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments

Southwest Missouri Council of Governments

Enhancing the quality of our communities through regional cooperation

Serving Barry, Christian, Dade, Dallas, Greene, Lawrence,
Polk, Stone, Taney, & Webster Counties

Stone County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update 2017

Notice of Open Meeting

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments will hold the kick-off meeting on the Stone County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update on Tuesday, July 25.

Stone County Annex Building
106 E 5th Street Galena, MO
Tuesday, July 25, 2017
1 p.m.

Jurisdictional representatives and the public are invited to learn how local governments, schools and special districts in Stone County are planning to mitigate the impact of natural hazard events.

All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting and provide input on this Plan which must be approved by the State Emergency Management Agency and FEMA. The Stone County Commission, the governing body of each participating City, School District, Road, Fire, and Ambulance District must formally adopt the Plan once it is approved by FEMA.

Items to be discussed:

- Introduction to Hazard Mitigation
  - Disaster Mitigation Act, Grants, Mitigation Plan funding
- The Planning Process
  - Organization, Risk Assessment, Develop and Review Mitigation Strategies, Adoption Resolutions
- Participation Requirements
  - Capabilities Survey, Meeting attendance, Time Sheets
- Future Meeting Dates

The current Stone County Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan is available online at SMCOG.org.
For questions contact: Megan Clark at MeganClark@MissouriState.edu

Southwest Missouri Council of Governments

Enhancing the quality of our communities through regional cooperation

Serving Barry, Christian, Dade, Dallas, Greene, Lawrence,
Polk, Stone, Stone, & Webster Counties

Stone County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update 2017

Notice of Open Meeting

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments will hold the second meeting on the Stone County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update on Tuesday, August 15th.

Stone County Annex Building
106 E 5th Street Galena, MO
Tuesday, August 15, 2017
1 p.m.

Jurisdictional representatives and the public are invited to learn how local governments in Stone County are planning to mitigate the impact of natural hazard events.

All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting and provide input on this Plan which must be approved by the State Emergency Management Agency and FEMA. The Stone County Commission, the governing body of each participating City, School District, Road, Fire, and Ambulance District must formally adopt the Plan once it is approved by FEMA.

Items to be discussed:

- Participation Requirements
  - Capabilities Survey, Meeting attendance, Time Sheets
- The Planning Process- Recap
- Risk Assessment
- Mitigation Strategies Preview
- Future Meeting Dates

The current Stone County Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan is available online at SMCOG.org.
For questions contact: Megan Clark at MeganClark@MissouriState.edu

Southwest Missouri Council of Governments

Enhancing the quality of our communities through regional cooperation

Serving Barry, Christian, Dade, Dallas, Greene, Lawrence,
Polk, Stone, Taney, & Webster Counties

Stone County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update 2017

Notice of Open Meeting

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments will hold the second meeting on the Stone County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update on Tuesday, September 26th.

Stone County Annex Building
106 E 5th Street
Galena, MO
Tuesday, September 26, 2017
1:00 p.m.

Jurisdictional representatives and the public are invited to learn how local governments in Stone County are planning to mitigate the impact of natural hazard events.

All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting and provide input on this Plan which must be approved by the State Emergency Management Agency and FEMA. The Stone County Commission, the governing body of each participating City, School District, Road, Fire, and Ambulance District must formally adopt the Plan once it is approved by FEMA.

Items to be discussed:

- Participation Requirements
    - Capabilities Survey, Meeting attendance, Time Sheets
- The Planning Process- Recap
- Mitigation Strategies: Goals and Actions
- Future Meeting Dates

The current Stone County Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan is available online at SMCOG.org.
For questions contact: Megan Clark at MeganClark@MissouriState.edu

Southwest Missouri Council of Governments

Enhancing the quality of our communities through regional cooperation

Serving Barry, Christian, Dade, Dallas, Greene, Lawrence,
Polk, Stone, Taney, & Webster Counties

Stone County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update 2017

Notice of Open Meeting

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments will hold the second meeting on the Stone County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update on Tuesday, October 24th.

Stone County Annex Building
106 E 5th Street
Galena, MO
Tuesday, October 24, 2017
1:00 p.m.

Jurisdictional representatives and the public are invited to learn how local governments in Stone County are planning to mitigate the impact of natural hazard events.

All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting and provide input on this Plan which must be approved by the State Emergency Management Agency and FEMA. The Stone County Commission, the governing body of each participating City, School District, Road, Fire, and Ambulance District must formally adopt the Plan once it is approved by FEMA.

Items to be discussed:

- Participation Recap
- Planning Process Overview
- Mitigation Strategies Prioritization
- Future Meeting Date

The current Stone County Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan is available online at SMCOG.org.
For questions contact: Megan Clark at MeganClark@MissouriState.edu

Southwest Missouri Council of Governments

Enhancing the quality of our communities through regional cooperation

Serving Barry, Christian, Dade, Dallas, Greene, Lawrence,
Polk, Stone, Taney, & Webster Counties

Stone County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update 2017

Notice of Open Meeting

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments will be holding the 5th meeting of the Stone County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update on Tuesday, November 14th.

Stone County Annex Building
106 E 5th Street
Galena, MO
Tuesday, November 14, 2017
1:00 p.m.

Jurisdictional representatives and the public are invited to learn how local governments in Stone County are planning to mitigate the impact of natural hazard events.

All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting and provide input on this Plan which must be approved by the State Emergency Management Agency and FEMA. The Stone County Commission, the governing body of each participating City, School District, Road, Fire, and Ambulance District must formally adopt the Plan once it is approved by FEMA.

Items to be discussed:

- Participation Recap
- Planning Process Overview
- Action Tracker Website
- Funding and Implementation Mechanisms
- Plan Adoption & Maintenance

The Current Stone County Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan is available online at SMCOG.org.
For questions contact: Megan Clark at MeganClark@MissouriState.edu

Secure | https://www.smcog.org/single-post/2017/07/25/Stone-County-Hazard-Mitigation-Plan-Update-Kick-Off-Meeting

Create Your WIX Site

Meeting Hazard Mitigation

Stone County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Kick-Off Meeting

July 25, 2017 | Megan Clark

Tuesday, July 25, 1 p.m.
Stone County Annex Building
106 E 5th Street
Galena, MO

Agenda
Kick-off Presentation

Tags: SMCOG NHMP

Share on Facebook Share on Twitter Share on Linkedin

Upcoming Meetings

RHSOC Quarterly Meeting

- Wednesday, January 17, 9am
- Mt. Vernon Arts + Recreation Center
- 822 W Mount Vernon Blvd. Mt. Vernon, MO

Agenda - PDF

Archive

Select Month

Search By Tags

Annual Meeting Barry County Board Meeting CEDS Christian Comment CompPlan DNR Dade County Dallas County LWCF Lawrence County NHMP November Polk County Publication RHSOC RTP SMCOG Stone County TAC Taney County Transit Plan Webster County image

Secure | https://www.smcog.org/single-post/2017/07/25/Stone-County-Hazard-Mitigation-Plan-Update-Kick-Off-Meeting-1

Create Your WIX Site

Meeting | Hazard Mitigation

Stone County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting #2

August 8, 2017 | Megan Clark

Tuesday, August 15, 1 p.m.
Stone County Annex Building
106 E 5th Street
Cabool, MO

Agenda
Presentation

Tags SMCOG NHMP

Share on Facebook Share on Twitter Share on LinkedIn

Upcoming Meetings

Transportation Advisory Committee (TAC) Meeting

Wednesday, January 17, 9 am
NEW LOCATION: Panera Bread
2535 N. Kansas Expy
Springfield, MO
Next door to the Library Station
Agenda

Archive

August 2017 (5)

Search By Tags

Annual Meeting Barry County Board Meeting CEDS Christian Comment CompPlan DNR Dade County Dallas County LWCF Lawrence County NHMP November Polk County Publication RHSOC RTP SMCOG Stone County TAC Taney County Transit Plan Webster County Image

Secure | https://www.smcog.org/single-post/2017/07/25/Stone-County-Hazard-Mitigation-Plan-Update-Meeting-3

Create Your WiX Site

Meeting Hazard Mitigation

Stone County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting #3

July 25, 2017 | Megan Clark

Tuesday, September 26, 1 p.m.
Stone County Annex Building
106 E 5th Street
Galena, MO

Agenda
Presentation

Tags: SMCOG NHMP

Share on Facebook Share on Twitter Share on Linkedin

Upcoming Meetings

RHSOC Quarterly Meeting

- Wednesday, January 17, 9am
- Mt. Vernon Arts + Recreation Center
- 822 W Mount Vernon Blvd. Mt. Vernon, MO

Agenda - PDF

Archive

Select Month

Search By Tags

Annual Meeting Barry County Board Meeting CEDS Christian Comment CompPlan DNR Dade County Dallas County LWCF Lawrence County NHMP November Polk County Publication RHSOC RTP SMCOG Stone County TAC Taney County Transit Plan Webster County image

Secure | https://www.smcog.org/single post/2017/07/25/Stone County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting 4

Create Your WIX Site

Meeting Hazard Mitigation

Stone County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting #4

October 25, 2017 | Megan Clark

Tuesday, October 24, 1 p.m.
Stone County Annex Building
106 E 5th Street
Cabool, MO

Agenda
Meeting 4 Presentation

Tags: SMCOG NHMP

Share on Facebook Share on Twitter Share on LinkedIn

Upcoming Meetings

SMCOG Board Meeting

Wednesday, January 24, 9am
New Meeting Location: ** Library Center, Auditorium **
4653 S. Campbell Ave.
Springfield, MO
Click here to view the agenda.

Archive

October 2017 (12)

Search By Tags

Annual Meeting Barry County Board Meeting CEDS Christian Comment CompPlan DNR Dade County Dallas County LWCF Lawrence County NHMP November Polk County Publication RHSOC RTP SMCOG Stone County TAC Taney County Transit Plan Webster County Image

Secure | https://www.smcog.org/single-post/2017/07/25/Stone-County-Hazard-Mitigation-Plan-Update-Meeting-5

Create Your WiX Site

Meeting Hazard Mitigation

Stone County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting #5

July 25, 2017 | Megan Clark

Tuesday, November 14, 1 p.m.
Stone County Annex Building
106 E 5th Street
Galena, MO

Agenda

Tags: SMCOG NHMP

Share on Facebook Share on Twitter Share on Linkedin

Upcoming Meetings

SMCOG Board Meeting

Wednesday, January 24, 9am
New Meeting Location: ** Library Center, Auditorium **
4653 S. Campbell Ave.
Springfield, MO
Click here to view the agenda.

Archive

Select Month

Search By Tags

Annual Meeting Barry County Board Meeting CEDS Christian Comment CompPlan DNR Dade County Dallas County LWCF Lawrence County NHMP November Polk County Publication RHSOC RTP SMCOG Stone County TAC Taney County Transit Plan Webster County image

*send Stone, KC
*send follow-up
*send sign in to Tom

Stone County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting Stone County Annex Building July 25, 2017 1:00 p.m. Sign-in (Please Print)		
Name	Jurisdiction/Organization	Phone/Email (Preferred Method of Contact)
Thomas Martin	Stone Co OEM	417-676-0042 protector@centurytel.net
Jerry Herman	Kimberling City	
DEAN BICKFORD	KIMBERLING CITY	
Garry Lewis	Stone Co OEM	
Lloyd Carr	Kimberling Area Radio Club	lloydcarr1@centurytelinet
Pam Burnett	Stone Co Health Dept	pam.burnett@lpha.mo.gov
Kenny Smith	Branson West	
Scott Williams	Stone Cty Emerg Svcs-911	swilliams@sces911.org
Keith Wolven	Southern Stone County Fire	Keithwolven@sscfpd.org
Beth Murphy	City of Crane	city.clerk@crane-mo.com
Tim Gideon	Stone County Sheriff's Office	TimGideonSCSO@aol.com

Stone County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update
Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting
Stone County Annex Building
July 25, 2017 1:00 p.m.
Sign-in (Please Print)

Name	Jurisdiction/Organization	Phone/Email (Preferred Method of Contact)
HILAND HILBURN	STONE COUNTY FLOODPLAIN ADMIN.	hilburn@hotmail.com
Rose WARRINER	City of Reeds Spring	Cityclerk@reedsspring.org
Allison Murphy-Pope	Hurley R1 School District	text 417-440-9020

Stone County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update
Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting
Stone County Annex Building
August 15, 2017 1:00 p.m.
Sign-in (Please Print)

Name	Jurisdiction/Organization	Phone/Email (Preferred Method of Contact)
Thomas Martin	Stone Co OEM	417-676-0042 protector@centurytel.net
Scott Williams	Stone County 911	417-339-3377 swilliams@sces911.org
Chris Thornton	Stone County Health Department	417-357-8200 Chris.Thornton@lpha.mo.gov
Steve Dalton	Branson West P.D.	417-272-3400 bwchief@tri-lakes.net
Keith Wolven	Southern Stone County Fire	417-272-1510 keithwolven@sscfpd.org
Dean Bickford	Kimberling City E.M.A.	417-739-5230 BickfordDean@xahoo
Lloyd Carr	KARC (Kimberling Area Radio Club)	573-819-5775 lloydcarr1@centurytel.net
Dennis Wood	Pres. Comm Stone Co.	—
Jerry Harman	Kimberling City	—
Mark Maples	northern stone co COMM	—
Hank Smythe	Southern Stone Co. Comm.	—

Stone County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update
Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting
Stone County Annex Building
August 15, 2017 1:00 p.m.
Sign-in (Please Print)

Name	Jurisdiction/Organization	Phone/Email (Preferred Method of Contact)
Steve Verheyen	Reeds Spring R-IV Schools	417-359-9853 / sverheyen@rwolves.k12.mo.us
John Elmore	Crane P.D. Crane/Mo	417-723-5500 Beth Murphy

Stone County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update
Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting
Stone County Annex Building
September 26, 2017 1:00 p.m.
Sign-in (Please Print)

Name	Jurisdiction/Organization	Phone/Email (Preferred Method of Contact)
Jennifer Fliflet	Village of Coney Island.	~~Coney~~ villageofconeyisland@gmail
Amy Chamberlain	Hurley R-1 School	417 369-3271 achamberlain@hurley.k12.mo.us
Tom Martin	Stone Co OEM	protector@centurytel.net
Steve Seaton	City of Hurley	417-369-3420
Keith Wolves	Southern Stone Fire	Keithwolves@sscfpd.org
Jeffy Harmon	Kimberling City	
Lloyd Carr	KARC	lloydcarr1@centurytel.net
DEAN BICKFORD	K.C. EMA	BICKFORD DEANE@YAHOO.COM
Kristi Stephens	Stone Co	417-357-6131
Mark Mapes	Stone Co	417-988-0319
Pam Bennett	Stone Co HD	417-357-6134

Stone County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update
Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting
Stone County Annex Building
September 26, 2017 1:00 p.m.
Sign-in (Please Print)

Name	Jurisdiction/Organization	Phone/Email (Preferred Method of Contact)
Sheila Thomas	TRL Chamber	sthomas@visittablerocklake.com
Lynn Helbert	StoneCo Fldpln Adm	stone.floodplain@gmail.com
Gary Lewis	Stone Co. OEM	gm2mlew@outlook.com
Lisa Chambers	City of Galena	357-6226 Clerk@galenacityhall.org
John Coones	City of Galena	

Stone County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update
Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting
Stone County Annex Building
October 24, 2017 1:00 p.m.
Sign-in (Please Print)

Name	Jurisdiction/Organization	Phone/Email (Preferred Method of Contact)
STEVE SEATON	City of Hurley	417-236-4061
Chris Danghetty	City of Hurley	417-369-3420
Allison Murphy-Pope	Hurley School District	417-369-3271 apope@hurley.k12.mo.us
Lloyd Carr	KHRC	lloydcarr1@centurytel.net
Tom Cooksey	SSCFPD	tom.cooksey@sscfpd.org
Jerry Harman	Kimberling City	
HANK SMYTHE	STONE CO.	
Beth Murphy	City of Crane	city.clerk@crane-mo.com/417-723-8305x10
Jason Martin	S.C. OEM	
Jen Liffler	Coney Island	villageofconeyisland@gmail.com
Brad Harrison	Mercy EMS Stone Cr	Bradley.Harrison@Mercy.Net

Stone County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update
Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting
Stone County Annex Building
October 24, 2017 1:00 p.m.
Sign-in (Please Print)

Name	Jurisdiction/Organization	Phone/Email (Preferred Method of Contact)
Carmen Melloy	City of Galena	417-357-[redacted]6226
Kenny Smith	Branson West	

Stone County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update
Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting
Stone County Annex Building
November 14, 2017 1:00 p.m.
Sign-in (Please Print)

Name	Jurisdiction/Organization	Phone/Email (Preferred Method of Contact)
Chris Dougherty	City of Hurley	cityofhurley@centurytel.net
Steve Seaton	City of Hurley	cityofhurley@centurytel.NET
RYAN MARTIN	STONE COUNTY OEM	RYANMARTIN@LAMPE-MO.US
Tom Martin	Stone County OEM	417-676-0042 Protector@centurytel.net
Keith Wolven	Southern Stone Co. Fire	KeithWolven@sscfpd.org
Jeffy Harman	Kimberling City	administrator@ckcmo.com
Jenny Dye	Reeds Spring	rscourtclerk@reedsspring.org
Carmen Melloy	Galena	Clerk@GalenaCityHall.org
Dennis Wood	Stone County Comm.	—
Steve Verheyen	Reeds Spring R-IV Schools	sverheyen@wolves-k12.mo.us

Action Title: Increase public awareness of the use of non-combustible materials for new construction, and fire resistant landscaping techniques and planting materials in wildfire hazard areas.	**Jurisdiction:** Coney Island
Action ID: 1.4	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	3.	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3.	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2.	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	3.	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	3	
STAPLEE Score	22	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 22+13=35

Priority Level: ☒ High (30+ points) ☐ Medium (25-29 points) ☐ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Jen / Clerk 712-310-0689

Action Title: Identify and designate heating and cooling refuge areas in community buildings and make these locations available to the public in the event of extreme temperatures.	**Jurisdiction**: Coney Island
Action ID: 1.12	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	1	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	1	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	1	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	1	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	1	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	1	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	1	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	0	
STAPLEE Score	7	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 7 + 10 = 17

Priority Level: ☐ High (30+ points) ☐ Medium (25-29 points) ☒ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Jen, Clerk 212-310-0689.

Action Title: Create and update tornado/severe storm plans including best identified available refuge areas (these do not, or may not, comply with FEMA Publication 361 for Safe Rooms) in schools, large facilities, and other establishments serving the public.	**Jurisdiction**: Coney Island
Action ID: 1.11	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	2.	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2.	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	2.	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2.	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	1	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	1	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2.	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	0	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5.
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 12+10=22.

Priority Level: ☐High (30+ points) ☐Medium (25-29 points) ☒Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Jen, Clerk. 712-310-0689

Action Title: Encourage construction of community safe rooms in areas of population concentration and facilities that serve vulnerable populations.	**Jurisdiction**: Coney Island
Action ID: 1.10	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	3.	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	3.	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3.	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2.	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3.	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2.	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	3.	
STAPLEE Score	22.	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 22+10=32.

Priority Level: ☒ High (30+ points) ☐ Medium (25-29 points) ☐ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Jen, Clerk 712-310-0689.

Action Title: Promote local severe weather alert applications for mobile communications devices.	**Jurisdiction**: Coney Island
Action ID: 1.9	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	2	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	3	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	2	
STAPLEE Score	18	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 18 + 12 = 20

Priority Level: ☐High (30+ points) ☐Medium (25-29 points) ☒Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Jen Clerk 712-310-0689

Action Title: Acquire, elevate, or flood-proof properties and critical infrastructure within flood hazard areas where feasible.	**Jurisdiction:** Coney Island
Action ID: 2.1	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	3	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	3	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	2	
Could it be implemented quickly?	2	
STAPLEE Score	25	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 25 + 13 = 38

Priority Level: [X] High (30+ points) [ ] Medium (25-29 points) [ ] Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Clerk, Jen. 712-310-0689

Action Title: Encourage the adoption of regulations requiring all new manufactured housing developments provide safe rooms or refuge areas (these do not or may not comply with FEMA Publication 361 for safe rooms checklist).	**Jurisdiction:** Coney Island
Action ID: 1.15	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?		
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)		
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		
Could it be implemented quickly?		
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): _ * Deleted)

Priority Level: ☐High (30+ points) ☐Medium (25-29 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Jen, Cle

Action Title: Encourage local community organizations to continue and augment programs to provide fans, air conditioners, and winter weatherization for those at risk.	**Jurisdiction**: Coney Island
Action ID: 1.14	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	1	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	1	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	1	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	1	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	1	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	1	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	0	
STAPLEE Score	8	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 18

Priority Level: ☐ High (30+ points) ☐ Medium (25-29 points) ☒ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Jon, Clerk 712-310-0689

Action Title: Provide direction to appropriate FEMA publications pertaining to the building of safe rooms.	**Jurisdiction**: Coney Island
Action ID: 1.13	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	1	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	1	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	1	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	1	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	1	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	1	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	0	
STAPLEE Score	8	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 8+10=18

Priority Level: ☐ High (30+ points) ☐ Medium (25-29 points) ☒ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Jan, Clerk 712-310-0689

Action Title: The NFIP communities of Stone County, Coney Island, Crane, Galena, Hurley, Kimberling City, and Reeds Spring will enforce floodplain management requirements, including regulating all new and substantially improved construction in the Special Flood Hazard Areas (SPFAs).	**Jurisdiction**: Coney Island
Action ID: 2.6	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	3.	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3.	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	3.	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3.	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3.	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3.	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	3.	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0.	
Could it be implemented quickly?	2.	
STAPLEE Score	23	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6.
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 23 + 11 = 34.

Priority Level: ☐High (30+ points) ☐Medium (25-29 points) ☐Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Jen, Clerk 712-310-0689.

Action Title: Encourage electric utilities providers to maintain looped distribution service and other redundancies to critical facilities and/or communications infrastructure.	**Jurisdiction**: Coney Island
Action ID: 2.2	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	3.	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3.	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2.	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3.	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	3.	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	2.	
STAPLEE Score	22	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 22+13=35

Priority Level: ☒ High (30+ points) ☐ Medium (25-29 points) ☐ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Clerk, Jen.

Action Title: Monitor and identify funding from state and federal programs for hazard mitigation activities.	**Jurisdiction**: Coney Island
Action ID: 3.7	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	2	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	2.	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2.	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2.	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2.	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	2.	
STAPLEE Score	16	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 16+10=26.

Priority Level: ☐High (30+ points) ☒Medium (25-29 points) ☐Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Jen Clerk

Action Title: Enhance strategies and coordinate with utility providers to manage encroachment of vegetation in easements and rights-of-way.	**Jurisdiction**: Coney Island
Action ID: 3.5	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	3	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	3	
STAPLEE Score	23	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 23 + 11 = 34

Priority Level: ☒ High (30+ points) ☐ Medium (25-29 points) ☐ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Clerk, Jen. 712-310-0689

Action Title: Integrate hazard mitigation into comprehensive and long range plans, capital improvement programs, zoning ordinances, subdivision, storm water management regulations, emergency operations plans, etc.	**Jurisdiction**: Coney Island
Action ID: 3.4	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	2	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	2	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	1	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 15 + 11 = 26

Priority Level: ☐ High (30+ points) ☒ Medium (25-29 points) ☐ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Clerk / Gen 712-310-0689

Action Title: Educate on importance of, or enforce if applicable, the importance of highly visible 911 addressing for residences and businesses.	**Jurisdiction**: Coney Island
Action ID: 3.2	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	3.	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3.	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3.	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3.	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2.	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0.	
Could it be implemented quickly?	2.	
STAPLEE Score	22.	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 22 + 15 = 37.

Priority Level: ☒ High (30+ points) ☐ Medium (25-29 points) ☐ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Jen, Clerk 712-310-0689

Action Title: Promote and provide NIMS training and/or information for all elected officials, public administrators, school administrators, and community stakeholders.	**Jurisdiction**: Coney Island
Action ID: 3.1	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	2	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	2	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2.	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2.	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	2.	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5.
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 16+10=26.

Priority Level: ☐High (30+ points) ☒Medium (25-29 points) ☐Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Jen Clerk 712-310-0689

Action Title: Participate in WebEOC.	**Jurisdiction:** Coney Island
Action ID: 3.10	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	2	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	2	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	1	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 15+12 = 27.

Priority Level: ☐High (30+ points) ☒Medium (25-29 points) ☐Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Jen, Clerk. 712-310-0689.

Action Title: Continue development of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) to further identify, analyze and map hazard prone areas to enhance decision making and facilities management for agencies and stakeholders.	**Jurisdiction**: Coney Island
Action ID: 3.9	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	2	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	2	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	0	
STAPLEE Score	14	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 14 + 10.

Priority Level: ☐ High (30+ points) ☐ Medium (25-29 points) ☒ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Clerk, Jen

Action Title: Utilize collaborative planning and compatible regulatory controls between the county and incorporated communities to promote infrastructure development practices that reduce the potential for property damage from natural hazards.	**Jurisdiction:** Coney Island
Action ID: 3.8	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	2	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	2	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	1	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 15 + 11 = 26.

Priority Level: ☐ High (30+ points) ☒ Medium (25-29 points) ☐ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Jen Clark 712-310-0689

Action Title: Promote participation in citizen preparedness activities, such as: MOCERT1, Neighborhood Watch, Amateur Radio, and Community Heroes Reserve Medical Corps.	**Jurisdiction**: Coney Island
Action ID: 1.5	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	3	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	3	
STAPLEE Score	20	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 20 + 10 = 30.

Priority Level: ☒ High (30+ points) ☐ Medium (25-29 points) ☐ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Jen / Clerk / 712-310-0689

Action Title: Promote the use of NOAA all-hazard radios with S.A.M.E. technology to all residents, businesses and government entities.	**Jurisdiction**: Coney Island
Action ID: 1.8	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	2.	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	2	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	0	
STAPLEE Score	14	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 14 + 13 = 27

Priority Level: ☐ High (30+ points) ☒ Medium (25-29 points) ☐ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Jon Clerk 712-310-0689

Action Title: Use social media to raise awareness of mitigation and preparedness activities.	**Jurisdiction**: Coney Island
Action ID: 1.3	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	3	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	3	
STAPLEE Score	23	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 23+16=

Priority Level: ☒ High (30+ points) ☐ Medium (25-29 points) ☐ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Jen Clerk 712-310-0689

Action Title: Provide a link to disaster preparation resources such as Ready in 3 or Ready.gov on local government, health department, public schools, chambers of commerce, and community organization websites.	**Jurisdiction:** Coney Island
Action ID: 1.2	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	3	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	3	
STAPLEE Score	23	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		16

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 23+16 = 39

Priority Level: ☒ High (30+ points) ☐ Medium (25-29 points) ☐ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Jen / Clerk / 712-310-0689

Action Title: Partner with traditional media and the National Weather Service to publish and/or broadcast information on severe weather hazards.	**Jurisdiction**: Coney Island
Action ID: 1.1	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	3	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3.	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	1	
Could it be implemented quickly?	2	
STAPLEE Score	23	

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		16

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 23+16 = 39.

Priority Level: ☒ High (30+ points) ☐ Medium (25-29 points) ☐ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Jennifer Tillett, Clerk, 712-310-0689

Action Title: Provide a link to disaster preparation resources such as Ready in 3 or Ready.gov on local government, health department, public schools, chambers of commerce, and community organization websites.	**Jurisdiction**: Reeds Spring
Action ID: 1.2	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score 19
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	2	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	3	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	0	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	2	
Could it be implemented quickly?	3	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score 14
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 33

Priority Level: ☒High (30+ points) ☐Medium (25-29 points) ☐Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Steve Verheyen, Director of Operations, 417-339-9853

Action Title: Use social media to raise awareness of mitigation and preparedness activities.	**Jurisdiction**: Reeds Spring
Action ID: 1.3	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score 19
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	2	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	3	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	0	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	2	
Could it be implemented quickly?	3	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score 14
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 33

Priority Level: ☒High (30+ points) ☐Medium (25-29 points) ☐Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Steve Verheyen, Director of Operations, 417.339-9853

Action Title: Increase public awareness of the use of non-combustible materials for new construction, and fire resistant landscaping techniques and planting materials in wildfire hazard areas.	**Jurisdiction**: Reeds Spring
Action ID: 1.4	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score 17
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	1	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	1	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	1	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	3	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	2	
Could it be implemented quickly?	2	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score 14
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 31

Priority Level: ☒ High (30+ points) ☐ Medium (25-29 points) ☐ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Steve Verheyen, Director of Operations, 417-339-9853

Action Title: Promote participation in citizen preparedness activities, such as: MOCERT1, Neighborhood Watch, Amateur Radio, and Community Heroes Reserve Medical Corps.	**Jurisdiction**: Reeds Spring
Action ID: 1.5	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score 10
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	1	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	1	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	1	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	1	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	0	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	1	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	1	
Could it be implemented quickly?	2	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score 10
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 20

Priority Level: ☐High (30+ points) ☐Medium (25-29 points) ☒Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Steve Verheyen, Director of Operations, 417-359-9853

Action Title: Promote the use of NOAA all-hazard radios with S.A.M.E. technology to all residents, businesses and government entities.	**Jurisdiction**: Reeds Spring
Action ID: 1.8	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score 16
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	2	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	2	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	1	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	1	
Could it be implemented quickly?	2	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score 12
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 28

Priority Level: ☐ High (30+ points) ☒ Medium (25-29 points) ☐ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Steve Verheyen, Director of Operations, 417-339-9853

Action Title: Promote local severe weather alert applications for mobile communications devices.	**Jurisdiction**: Reeds Spring
Action ID: 1.9	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score 20
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	2	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	1	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	3	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	2	
Could it be implemented quickly?	2	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score 16
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 36

Priority Level: ☒ High (30+ points) ☐ Medium (25-29 points) ☐ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Steve Verheyen, Director of Operations, 417-339-4853

Action Title: Encourage construction of community safe rooms in areas of population concentration and facilities that serve vulnerable populations.	**Jurisdiction**: Reeds Spring
Action ID: 1.10	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score 16
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	1	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	1	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	1	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score 12
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 28

Priority Level: ☐ High (30+ points) ☒ Medium (25-29 points) ☐ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Steve Vorhegel, Director of Operations, 417-339-4853

Action Title: Create and update tornado/severe storm plans including best identified available refuge areas (these do not, or may not, comply with FEMA Publication 361 for Safe Rooms) in schools, large facilities, and other establishments serving the public.	**Jurisdiction**: Reeds Spring
Action ID: 1.11	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score 24
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	3	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	3	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	3	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score 15
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 39

Priority Level: ☒ High (30+ points) ☐ Medium (25-29 points) ☐ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Steve Verheyen, Director of Operations, 417-339-9853

Action Title: Identify and designate heating and cooling refuge areas in community buildings and make these locations available to the public in the event of extreme temperatures.	**Jurisdiction**: Reeds Spring
Action ID: 1.12	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score 16
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	2	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	3	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	1	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3 Board Approval	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	1	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	2	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score 12
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 28

Priority Level: ☐ High (30+ points) ☒ Medium (25-29 points) ☐ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Steve Verheyen, Director of Operations, 417-339-9853

Action Title: Provide direction to appropriate FEMA publications pertaining to the building of safe rooms.	**Jurisdiction**: Reeds Spring
Action ID: 1.13	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score 16
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	2	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	2	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	1	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	2	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score 10
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 26

Priority Level: ☐ High (30+ points) ☒ Medium (25-29 points) ☐ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Steve Verheyen, Director of Operations, 417-339-9853

Action Title: Encourage local community organizations to continue and augment programs to provide fans, air conditioners, and winter weatherization for those at risk.	**Jurisdiction**: Reeds Spring
Action ID: 1.14	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score 11
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	2	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	1	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	0	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	0	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	2	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score 10
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 21

Priority Level: ☐High (30+ points) ☐Medium (25-29 points) ☒Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Steve Verheyen, Director of Operations, 417-339-9853

Action Title: Encourage the adoption of regulations requiring all new manufactured housing developments provide safe rooms or refuge areas (these do not or may not comply with FEMA Publication 361 for safe rooms checklist).	**Jurisdiction**: Reeds Spring
Action ID: 1.15	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score 7
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	1	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	1	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	0	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	1	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	0	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	1	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	1	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score 10
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 17

Priority Level: ☐ High (30+ points) ☐ Medium (25-29 points) ☒ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Steve Verheyen, Director of Operations, 417-339-9853

Action Title: Acquire, elevate, or flood-proof properties and critical infrastructure within flood hazard areas where feasible.	**Jurisdiction**: Reeds Spring
Action ID: 2.1	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score 9
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	2	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	0	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	0	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	1	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	0	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	2	
Could it be implemented quickly?	0	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score 15
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 23

Priority Level: ☐High (30+ points) ☐Medium (25-29 points) ☒Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Steve Verheyen, Director of Operations, 417-339-9853

Action Title: Encourage electric utilities providers to maintain looped distribution service and other redundancies to critical facilities and/or communications infrastructure.	**Jurisdiction**: Reeds Spring
Action ID: 2.2	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score 16
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	2	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	2	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	1	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	3	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	0	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score 13
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 29

Priority Level: ☐High (30+ points) ☒Medium (25-29 points) ☐Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Steve Verheyen, Director of Operations, 417-339-9853

Action Title: Take necessary steps to ensure resiliency for wastewater treatment systems during flood and drought events.	**Jurisdiction**: Reeds Spring
Action ID: 2.3	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score 16
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	0	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	3	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	0	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score 12
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 28

Priority Level: ☐High (30+ points) ☒Medium (25-29 points) ☐Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Steve Verheyn, Director of Operations, 417-339-9853

Action Title: Encourage adoption of and/or enforce measures to control runoff from developing areas outside the floodplain where ordinances have not been enacted.	**Jurisdiction**: Reeds Spring
Action ID: 2.5	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score 13
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	2	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	0	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	3	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	0	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score 12
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 25

Priority Level: ☐ High (30+ points) ☐ Medium (25-29 points) ☒ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Steve Vehlewe, Director of Operations, 417-339-9853

Action Title: The NFIP communities of Stone County, Coney Island, Crane, Galena, Hurley, Kimberling City, and Reeds Spring will enforce floodplain management requirements, including regulating all new and substantially improved construction in the Special Flood Hazard Areas (SPFAs).	**Jurisdiction**: Reeds Spring
Action ID: 2.6	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score 16
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	2	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	0	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	3	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	0	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score 15
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): _____

Priority Level: ☒High (30+ points) ☐Medium (25-29 points) ☐Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Steve Verheyen, Director of Operations, 417-339-9853

Action Title: Promote and provide NIMS training and/or information for all elected officials, public administrators, school administrators, and community stakeholders.	**Jurisdiction**: Reeds Spring
Action ID: 3.1	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score 17
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	2	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	1	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score 14
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 31

Priority Level: ☒ High (30+ points) ☐ Medium (25-29 points) ☐ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Steve Verheyen, Director of Operations, 417339-9853

Action Title: Educate on importance of, or enforce if applicable, the importance of highly visible 911 addressing for residences and businesses.	**Jurisdiction**: Reeds Spring
Action ID: 3.2	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score 12
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	2	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	1	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	1	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	1	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	1	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score 15
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 27

Priority Level: ☐ High (30+ points) ☒ Medium (25-29 points) ☐ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Steve Verheyn, Director of Operations, 417-339-9853

Action Title: Integrate hazard mitigation into comprehensive and long range plans, capital improvement programs, zoning ordinances, subdivision, storm water management regulations, emergency operations plans, etc.	**Jurisdiction**: Reeds Spring
Action ID: 3.4	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score 12
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	2	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	2	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	1	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	1	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	0	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score 12
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 24

Priority Level: ☐ High (30+ points) ☐ Medium (25-29 points) ☒ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Steve Verheyen, Director of Operations, 417-339-9853

Action Title: Enhance strategies and coordinate with utility providers to manage encroachment of vegetation in easements and rights-of-way.	**Jurisdiction**: Reeds Spring
Action ID: 3.5	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score 17
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	1	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	2	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	3	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	1	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 27

Priority Level: ☐High (30+ points) ☒Medium (25-29 points) ☐Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Steve Verheyen, Director of Operations, 417-339-9853

Action Title: Monitor and identify funding from state and federal programs for hazard mitigation activities.	**Jurisdiction**: Reeds Spring
Action ID: 3.7	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score 9
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	1	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	0	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	1	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	1	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	0	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score 10
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 19

Priority Level: ☐High (30+ points) ☐Medium (25-29 points) ☒Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): _____

Action Title: Utilize collaborative planning and compatible regulatory controls between the county and incorporated communities to promote infrastructure development practices that reduce the potential for property damage from natural hazards.	**Jurisdiction**: Reeds Spring
Action ID: 3.8	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score 11
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	2	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	1	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	1	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	0	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	0	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score 14
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	9
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 25

Priority Level: ☐ High (30+ points) ☒ Medium (25-29 points) ☐ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Steve Verheyen, Director of Operations, 417339-9853

Action Title: Continue development of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) to further identify, analyze and map hazard prone areas to enhance decision making and facilities management for agencies and stakeholders.	**Jurisdiction**: Reeds Spring
Action ID: 3.9	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score 18
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	2	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	1	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	1	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	3	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	1	
Could it be implemented quickly?	1	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score 13
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 31

Priority Level: ☒ High (30+ points) ☐ Medium (25-29 points) ☐ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Steve Verheyen, Director of Operations, 417-339-9853

Action Title: Participate in WebEOC.	**Jurisdiction:** Reeds Spring
Action ID: 3.10	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score 19
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	3	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	0	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	3	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	2	
Could it be implemented quickly?	0	
STAPLEE Score		

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score 11
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 30

Priority Level: ☒ High (30+ points) ☐ Medium (25-29 points) ☐ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Steve Verheyn, Director of Operations, 417-339-9853

Action Title: Partner with traditional media and the National Weather Service to publish and/or broadcast information on severe weather hazards.	**Jurisdiction**: Hurley R-I
Action ID: 1.1	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?	YES	3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	YES	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?	YES	3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	YES	3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	NOT SURE	1
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	MAYBE	2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)	POSITIVE	3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	NOT SURE	2
Could it be implemented quickly?	YES	3
STAPLEE Score		23

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 40

Priority Level: ☑ High (30+ points) ☐ Medium (25-29 points) ☐ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): DR Allison Murphy-Pope / Superintendent
417-309-3271

Action Title: Provide a link to disaster preparation resources such as Ready in 3 or Ready.gov on local government, health department, public schools, chambers of commerce, and community organization websites.	**Jurisdiction**: Hurley R-I
Action ID: 1.2	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		1
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score		**23**

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		**17**

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 40

Priority Level: ☑ High (30+ points) ☐ Medium (25-29 points) ☐ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Dr. Allison Murphy-Pope, Superintendent Hurley R-1, 417-369-3271

Action Title: Promote participation in citizen preparedness activities, such as: MOCERT1, Neighborhood Watch, Amateur Radio, and Community Heroes Reserve Medical Corps.	**Jurisdiction**: Hurley R-I
Action ID: 1.5	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		1
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score		**22**

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		**16**

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 38

Priority Level: ☑ High (30+ points) ☐ Medium (25-29 points) ☐ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Dr. Allison Murphy-Pope, Superintendent Hurley R-1, 417-369-3271

Action Title: Use social media to raise awareness of mitigation and preparedness activities.	**Jurisdiction**: Hurley R-I
Action ID: 1.3	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score		**26**

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		**16**

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 42

Priority Level: ☑ High (30+ points) ☐ Medium (25-29 points) ☐ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Dr. Allison Murphy-Pope, Superintendent Hurley R-1, 417-369-3271

Action Title: Participate in WebEOC.	**Jurisdiction:** Hurley R-I
Action ID: 3.10	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score		**26**

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		**14**

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 40

Priority Level: ☑ High (30+ points) ☐ Medium (25-29 points) ☐ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Dr. Allison Murphy-Pope, Superintendent Hurley R-1, 417-369-3271

Action Title: Monitor and identify funding from state and federal programs for hazard mitigation activities.	**Jurisdiction**: Hurley R-I
Action ID: 3.7	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		1
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE Score		23

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		16

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 39

Priority Level: ☒ High (30+ points) ☐ Medium (25-29 points) ☐ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): DR Allison Murphy-Pope

Action Title: Integrate hazard mitigation into comprehensive and long range plans, capital improvement programs, zoning ordinances, subdivision, storm water management regulations, emergency operations plans, etc.	**Jurisdiction**: Hurley R-I
Action ID: 3.4	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		1
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE Score		19

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		12

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 31

Priority Level: ☒ High (30+ points) ☐ Medium (25-29 points) ☐ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): DR Allison Murphy-Pope

Action Title: Promote and provide NIMS training and/or information for all elected officials, public administrators, school administrators, and community stakeholders.	**Jurisdiction**: Hurley R-I
Action ID: 3.1	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		1
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score		22

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		17

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 39

Priority Level: ☑ High (30+ points) ☐ Medium (25-29 points) ☐ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): DR. Allison Murphy-Pope / Superintendent
417-369-3271

Action Title: Encourage electric utilities providers to maintain looped distribution service and other redundancies to critical facilities and/or communications infrastructure.	**Jurisdiction**: Hurley R-I
Action ID: 2.2	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		0
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	Not sure	1
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE Score		18

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		13

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 31

Priority Level: ☑ High (30+ points) ☐ Medium (25-29 points) ☐ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Dr. Allison Murphy-Pope, Superintendent Hurley R-1, 417-369-3271

Remove this from me.

Action Title: Encourage local community organizations to continue and augment programs to provide fans, air conditioners, and winter weatherization for those at risk.	**Jurisdiction**: Hurley R-I
Action ID: 1.14	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		0
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		1
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		1
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score		**19**

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		**15**

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 34

Priority Level: ☑ High (30+ points) ☐ Medium (25-29 points) ☐ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Dr. Allison Murphy-Pope, Superintendent Hurley R-1, 417-369-3271

Action Title: Create and update tornado/severe storm plans including best identified available refuge areas (these do not, or may not, comply with FEMA Publication 361 for Safe Rooms) in schools, large facilities, and other establishments serving the public.	**Jurisdiction**: Hurley R-I
Action ID: 1.11	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score		**26**

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		**20**

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 46

Priority Level: ☑ High (30+ points) ☐ Medium (25-29 points) ☐ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Dr. Allison Murphy-Pope, Superintendent Hurley R-1, 417-369-3271

Action Title: Promote local severe weather alert applications for mobile communications devices.	**Jurisdiction**: Hurley R-I
Action ID: 1.9	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		1
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score		**21**

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		**16**

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 37

Priority Level: ☑ High (30+ points) ☐ Medium (25-29 points) ☐ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Dr. Allison Murphy-Pope, Superintendent Hurley R-1, 417-369-3271

Action Title: Promote natural hazard mitigation educational programs in school communications and curriculum, where feasible.	**Jurisdiction**: Hurley R-I
Action ID: 1.6	

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially acceptable?		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural environment? (score a 3 if positive impact, 2 if neutral impact)		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE Score		**25**

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives would be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
Mitigation Effectiveness Score		**16**

Total Score (STAPLEE Score + Mitigation Effectiveness Score): 41

Priority Level: ☑ High (30+ points) ☐ Medium (25-29 points) ☐ Low (less than 25 points)

Completed by (name/title/phone #): Dr. Allison Murphy-Pope, Superintendent Hurley R-1, 417-369-3271